

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,845	01/12/2001		Pierre D. Grondin	PGI6044P0310US	1863
32116	7590	06/05/2003			
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661				EXAMINER	
				GUARRIELLO, JOHN J	
011101100,1	2 0000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	a
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Office Action Summary

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

Part of Paper No.

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

□ Other\_\_

□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Attachment(s)

\*Certified copies not received:\_\_\_

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Application/Control Number: 09/759845 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

15. The Examiner acknowledges papers # 6-8, the change of address of 11/4/2002; extension of time, and the amendment of 2/10/2003.

16. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Specification

17. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification describes "thickness" but the units attached are for **basis weight**, see page 4, line 8 and page 5, line 27. Since the newly amended claims 6 and 12 state "basis weight" there must be clear support for this in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 1771

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

20. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al. 5,308,691.

Applicant's arguments regarding Doyle have been persuasive. New grounds of rejection over Lim follow.

Lim describes a composite sheet corresponding to the claimed laminate, (see abstract). Lim describes the spunbonded polypropylene fiber sheet with high water vapor penetration which can be used for housewrap, (see abstract). Lim describes the basis weight of the spunbonded polypropylene is about 17-100 g/ square meter which overlaps the amount in the claimed invention, (column 2, lines 49-51). Lim describes a spunbond sheet with a meltblown layer, (column 3, lines 34-43), with the desired microporosity and barrier properties corresponding to the claimed breathable film coating of the claimed invention. Lim describes machine direction and crossdirection tensile strengths in Table 1, (column 6, lines 15-28). Lim differs from the claimed invention because it does not state film. It is the Examiner's position that the

Application/Control Number: 09/759845 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

meltblown layer could be considered a film layer since it meets the definition of "film" as set forth in Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 10th Edition, page 435, which states a film is: "a thin skin or membranous covering or a thin covering or coating". Thus, it is the Examiner's position the nonwoven meltblown layer is the film.

Regarding the amounts of the components of the breathable coating one of ordinary skill in this art would be able to optimize these parameters because the reference describes the basic chemistry and structure of the claimed laminate and desire the same performance standards.

21. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action

Application/Control Number: 09/759845 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 703-308-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Guarriello:gj

Patent Examiner

May 27, 2003

May 30, 2003

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700